











#### What the Findings show

Pre-school exposure has an impact on their speech development.

In the pilot study, children with Down Syndrome who attended regular pre-school or childcare centres generally exhibited better speech and communication skills than those who attended special pre-schools.

In fact, those who had good or excellent speech and could communicate well never attended special preschool and their early childhood exposure was either purely mainstream or with regularly-developing peers/brother/sister

## Silence is Not Golden

This seems consistent with the views of sociolinguists who regard social interaction as the main foundation of communication development (Schaffer, 1984; Bruner, 1983)

In fact, Vygotsky (1986) and Bruner (1960), both proponents of the socio-linguistic approach to communication and speech development, suggest that a sensitive language environment acts as a language acquisition support system (LASS) which scaffolds the child's speech development.

## The Zone of Proximal Development

To understand this influence, Vygotsky proposes the "zone of proximal development." This zone refers to the difference in a child's performance when she attempts a problem on her own compared with when a peer provides assistance. The help from the regularly-developing peer is called scaffolding. Just as the scaffolding of a building helps to support it, assistance from mainstream peers in a regular pre-school environment helps support the child's development.

Quite obviously, this type of scaffolding will be quite rare in special pre-schools where all the children require very individualized learning programs tailored to fit their needs.

### The Implication

Children with Down Syndrome should be exposed to a sensitive language environment that can help stimulate the emergence of speech during their pre-

Such an environment can only be found in a regular pre-school.

This is consistent with Vygotsky's theory on the zone of proximal development which seems to tilt the scales in favour of inclusion

# The Challenges Ahead

form of manpower and rehabilitative facilities, are reluctant o accept disabled children, thus directly depriving them of a chance to integrate with regularly-developing children.

#### #2 The Path of Least Resistance

right to education and ways in which they can support their children's learning. So when they encounter obstacles in the form of logistics or rejection from pre-schools of their choice, they take the path of least resistance - at the expense of their child.





